(10)

FILED
AUG 5 1941
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1941

No. 204

NETTIE MEYER, ANNA JACOBSON, JACOB MEYERHOFF, JOSEPH MEYERHOFF, JULIUS MEYERHOFF, RAY MANN AND JOHN J. PANKIN,

Petitioners,

vs.

KENMORE-GRANVILLE HOTEL COMPANY, SAMUEL J. T. STRAUS, MELVIN L. STRAUS, M. A. ROSENTHAL, ET AL.,

Respondents.

BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION TO THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

CLAUDE A. ROTH,
Chicago, Illinois,
Attorney for Respondents.

HARRY E. SMOOT,
Chicago, Illinois,
Of Counsel.

PRINTED BY CHICAGO LAW PRINTING CO.



INDEX.

	PAGE
Additional statement of matters involved	1
Summary of Argument	6
Argument	8
Point I—No right specially claimed under the Constitution, etc.	6, 8
Point II—Bankruptcy court had jurisdiction of subject matter and parties	6, 10
Point III—Claim of right, title, privilege or immunity was made too late	6, 12
Point IV—Petitioners' contention that his claim of privilege was timely made is without merit	7, 13
Point V—Decision of Appellate Court was on non-federal questions	7, 15
Appendix	17

Table of Cases.

Adams & Co. v. Board of Liquidation of the State of Louisiana, 144 U. S. 651, 36 L. ed. 578	8
Beals v. Cone, 188 U. S. 184, 47 L. ed. 435	11
Bowe v. Scott, 233 U. S. 658, 58 L. ed. 1141	12
City and County of San Francisco v. Itsell, 133 U. S. 65, 33 L. ed. 570	8
Cleveland & P. R. Co. v. Cleveland, 235 U. S. 50, 59 L. ed. 127	12
Cumberland Glass Mfg. Co. v. DeWitt, 237 U. S. 447, 59 L. ed. 1043	9

TABLE OF CASES (continued).

	PAGE
Farley v. Kittson, 120 U. S. 303, 30 L. ed. 684	14
Hamblin v. Western Land Co., 147 U. S. 531, 37 L. ed. 267	9
Hansberry v. Lee, 311 U. S. 32, 85 L. ed. 11	9
Harding v. Illinois, 196 U. S. 78, 49 L. ed. 394	13
Harris v. First National Bank, 216 U. S. 382, 54 L. ed. 528	10
Herndon v. Georgia, 295 U. S. 441, 79 L. ed. 1530	13
In re Blake, 150 F. 279	11
Kentucky Union Co. v. Kentucky, 219 U. S. 140, 55 L. ed. 173	12
Meyer v. Kenmore-Granville Hotel Co., 308 Ill. App. 78	4, 10
Meyer v. Kenmore-Granville Hotel Co., 92 F. (2d) 778	10
Meyer v. Kenmore Granville Company, 302 U. S. 767	10
Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. McGrew, 188 U. S. 291, 47 L. ed. 480	12
Perry v. United States School and Furniture Co., 135 Ill. App. 1	14
Saunders v. Shaw, 244 U. S. 317, 61 L. ed. 1163	13
Spies v. Illinois, 123 U. S. 131, 31 L. ed. 80	12
Stoll v. Gottlieb, 305 U. S. 165	5, 19
Susquehanna Boom Co. v. West Branch Boom Co., 110 U. S. 57, 28 L. ed. 69	12
U. S. v. California & Oregon Land Co., 148 U. S. 31, 37 L. ed. 354	14

STATUTE.

Smith-Hurd Ann. St. Ch. 37, Sec. 32	15

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1941

No. 204

NETTIE MEYER, ANNA JACOBSON, JACOB MEYERHOFF, JOSEPH MEYERHOFF, JULIUS MEYERHOFF, RAY MANN AND JOHN J. PANKIN,

Petitioners,

vs.

KENMORE-GRANVILLE HOTEL COMPANY, SAMUEL J. T. STRAUS, MELVIN L. STRAUS, M. A. ROSENTHAL, ET AL.,

Respondents.

BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION TO THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENT OF MATTERS INVOLVED.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

The plan of reorganization in the 77B proceedings on the property in question was approved May 20, 1935. On November 23, 1936, after arrangements had been made for the corporation organized under the plan to obtain a loan to pay outstanding taxes and allowed fees and expenses in the 77B proceeding, the bondholders' committees filed a